UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,741	12/20/2005	Gregor Kroner	56442/M521	5921
	7590 08/18/200 RKER & HALE, LLP	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 7068		JOYCE, WILLIAM C		
PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/561,741	KRONER, GREGOR			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	William C. Joyce	3656			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
·=	, 				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9,11-14,17-24 and 32-35 is/are rejected. 					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,15,16 and 25-31</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/561,741 Page 2

Art Unit: 3656

DETAILED ACTION

This is the First Office Action in response to the above identified patent application filed on December 20, 2005.

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 11, the limitation "the recess is formed through a previously formed recess" is not clear as to whether applicant intends to claim the intermediate product or the final product. If applicant intends to define the manufacturing steps used in making the device, it is suggested that the limitations of claim 11 be presented as a method claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/561,741 Page 3

Art Unit: 3656

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-9, 11-14, 20-24, 32, and 35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Heurich et al. (USP 4,125,298).

Heurich et al. discloses a bearing arrangement comprising: a bearing point in the form of a bearing opening of a base part (2); and a bearing element (1,6,9) engaging the base part through the bearing opening; wherein the base part has on the edge of the bearing opening at least one deformation area (3') which as a result of plastic deformation protrudes radially inwards from the edge and acts as a radial support for the bearing element.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 17, 33, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heurich et al. (USP 4,125,298)

Heurich et al. does not disclose the bearing device used in combination with a seat side part or a lever. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the bearing arrangement of Heurich et al. in combination with known rotatable components, such as a seat

side part or a lever, motivation being to support the rotatable component with a simple bearing arrangement.

8. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heurich et al. (USP 4,125,298) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Bolgiano (USP 1616578).

Heurich does not disclose a bolt used in combination with the bearing arrangement, but it was known to use a bolt as claimed. For example, Bolgiano disclose a bolt (14) used in a bearing. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the bearing arrangement of Heurich et al. in combination with a bolt, as taught by Bolgiano, motivation being to provide a simple arrangement for supporting two components for relative rotation.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 10, 15, 16, 25-31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note the bearing arrangement of USP 2644350 and 3318169.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,741 Page 5

Art Unit: 3656

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Joyce whose telephone number is (571) 272-7107. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William C. Joyce/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3656